Desert Tortoise Habitat Modeling – progress toward understanding future habitat

K.E. Nussear, R.D. Inman, T.C. Esque



USGS Habitat Model - 2009

Hagerty et al. 2010 Landscape genetics

DT Recovery Plan 2011 Recovery planning

Averill Murray et al. 2012 Decision support

Averill Murray et al. 2013 Connectivity assessment

BLM REAs - 2012

DRECP - Currently



Adapted from Nussear et al. 2009

Habitat Description



Fig. 5. Environmental matrix for the Desert tortoise in California. Thick line indicates the preferred range, thin line the estimated potential range.

Contractor and

Luckenbach 1991

Habitat/Distribution Model



Blainey et al. 2007, Wallace and Thomas 2008, Wallace et al. 2008

USGS Habitat Model 2009

•Comparable to earlier Models

•Allowed for interpretation of animal response to inputs



Adapted from Germano et al. 1994 and Nussear et al. 2009

Model Response



Elevational Gradients

Elevational Gradients



Constraints to Modeling

- Some Variables are NOT available in Future Climate Projections
 - Annual Greenup
 Potential
 - Perennial Cover









Contractor and

Thermal Environment and Activity



Activity



Proportion of Year Available



Constraints to Modeling

Spatial Resolution of Climate Variables



IPCC - global/continental scale focus

Columbia Columbia



IPCC – Multiple Emmissons Scenarios



IPCC – 15 km resolution – required manual downscaling

WorldClim and others have similar resolution ENSEMBLE MEAN CHANGE: Precipitation 1971–1999 vs. 2041–2069 Months: 06, 07, 08, 09



Data



National Aeronautics and Space Administration Goddard Space Flight Center

Search NASA.gov

?

NCCS | Sciences and Exploration

CISTO Climate Data Services

Home About

People

News

Data Services

Data By Service

Data By Project

Published Data

Visualization

> NASA

> GSFC

> HEC

> CISTO

> NCCS

> GMAO

> GISS

> HSL

> SVS

> GES DISC

NASA Links

NEX - NASA Earth Exchange Downscaled Project

Description

The NASA Earth Exchange (NEX) Downscaled Climate Projections (NEX-DCP30) dataset is comprised of downscaled climate scenarios for the conterminous United States that are derived from the General Circulation Model (GCM) runs conducted under the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 5 (CMIP5) [Taylor et al. 2012] and across the four greenhouse gas emissions scenarios known as Representative Concentration Pathways (RCPs) [Meinshausen et al. 2011] developed for the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC AR5). The dataset includes downscaled projections from 33 models, as well as ensemble statistics calculated for each RCP from all model runs available. The purpose of these datasets is to provide a set of high resolution, bias-corrected climate change projections that can be used to evaluate climate change impacts on processes that are sensitive to finer-scale climate gradients and the effects of local topography on climate conditions.

Each of the climate projections includes monthly averaged maximum temperature, minimum temperature, and precipitation for the periods from 1950 through 2005 (Retrospective Run) and from 2006 to 2099 (Prospective Run).

Data Access

Data Service Name: Earth System Grid Federation, ESGF Data Service Information: Search, Download, Visualize (LAS) Data Service Access URL: ESGF Click on Search, select Project "NEX".

Data Service Name: NCCS THREDDS Data Service Information: Search, Subset, Download, Visualize Data Service Access URL: NCCS THREDDS Select Project "NEX".

Data Services Information

- Data Portal Hardware Site
- Data Services Documentation
- Original NCCS Dataportal website

NCCS Information

- NCCS User ID Requests
- NCCS FAQ
- Footprints Login
- User Forum
- Weekly User Telecon
- News
- Get More Help

Contact Us

- Contact NCCS User Services at support@nccs.nasa.gov or 301-286-9120
- Hours of Operation: Monday through Friday 8 a.m. to 6 p.m. Eastern Time (U.S.)
- Follow us on Twitter!

Contact

Environmental Layers in Models

• CLIMATE

- Mean dry season precipitation
- Mean wet season precipitation

TOPOGRAPHY

- Elevation
- Average surface roughness
- Percent smooth

SOILS

- Average soil bulk density
- Depth to bedrock
- Average percentage of rocks > 254 mm B-axis diameter

BIOLOGICAL CHARACTERISTICS

- Perennial plant cover
- Annual Greenup Potential

• CLIMATE

- Probability of Drought
- Ratio of Summer to Winter
 Precipitation
- Seasonal Temperature
 Difference
- Summer Maximum Temperature

• TOPOGRAPHY/SOILS

- Surface Texture
- Surface Roughness

BIOLOGICAL CHARACTERISTICS

- Proportion of Season Available for Activity
- Two Future Climate Scenarios
 - 2.5 climate forcing (B2)
 - 8.5 climate forcing (A1)

USGS Habitat Models







Habitat Model - Present

CMIP3 - Forcing level 2.5

CMIP3 - Forcing level 2.5

Habitat Model - Present

CMIP3 - Forcing level 8.5

CMIP3 - Forcing level 8.5

CMIP3 - Forcing level 2.5

2015: RCP 8.5

Henderso

2055: RCP 8.5

s Vegas Hendersor

2095: RCP 8.5

Current - 2095: RCP 8.5

Difference Map fl 2.5 and fl 8.5 - 2095

CMIP3 - Forcing level 2.5

CMIP3 - Forcing level 2.5

CMIP3 - Forcing level 8.5

CMIP3 - Forcing level 2.5